# Oregon Intellectual Property Blog

Search... Go





## 30 Wednesda

## Oregon hosts trademark dispute between "Highbrow" websites

Posted by Kenan Farrell in District of Oregon, Intellectual Property, Litigation, Oregon, Trademark

 $\approx$  Leave a comment

The Plaintiff in this action, <u>Highbrow, LLC</u>, seeks a declaratory judgment that its use of the "HIGHBROW" trademark in connection with an online beauty website does not infringe or otherwise violate the rights of Defendant Highbrow Magazine, LLC.

#### Tags

Cancellation of Trademark,
Declaratory Judgment of NonInfringement, Litigation Update,
Stacie F. Beckerman, State
Declaratory Judgment of NonInfringement and No Unfair
Competition



 $\underline{\textbf{Highbrow Magazine}} \text{ is a "politically liberal, general-interest magazine covering News \& Politics,}$ 

Media, Arts & Entertainment, Food, and Travel."



According to the Complaint (below), Highbrow was threatened with litigation by Highbrow Magazine and thus preemptively filed this declaratory action in Oregon. A series of discussions over a non-litigious resolution were unsuccessful.

There is some interesting language in the final demand letter from Highbrow Magazine (see Exhibit 5) that arguably forced the hand of Highbrow:

"Note that we have also sent this letter to your domain registrar and Web hosting company, which means that they may be liable for contributory infringement for any continuing trademark infringement subsequent to receipt of this notice."

By bringing the registrar and web host into the situation, Highbrow was left with really no other option but to seek a court's declaration of non-infringement. Better in Oregon than New York for many reasons for the Portland-based Highbrow.

[Update – The parties settled and a Notice of Voluntary Dismissal was filed on 5/10/16]

HIGHBROW, LLC V. HIGHBROW MAGAZINE, LLC ET AL

Court Case Number: 3:16-cv-00544 File Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2016

 ${\bf Plaintiff}\hbox{:}\ Highbrow,\ LLC$ 

Plaintiff Counsel: Susan Bristow-Ford of Bristow-Ford Law

 $\textbf{Defendant} \hbox{: Highbrow Magazine, LLC}$ 

 $\textbf{\textit{Cause}} : \textbf{Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement, Cancellation of Trademark, State Declaratory}$ 

Judgment of Non-Infringement and No Unfair Competition

Judge: Stacie F. Beckerman

#### Complaint:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF OREGON PORTLAND DIVISION	
HIGHBROW, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company  Plaintiff  v.  HIGHBROW MAGAZINE, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company, and TARA TAGHIZADEH, an individual  Defendants	Case No  COMPLAINT  (Declaratory Judgment of No Trademark Infringement, False Designation of Origin, Unfair Competition, Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act, and Claim for Cancellation of Trademark at the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Federal and Virginia State Law)  DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
For its complaint, plaintiff Highbrow, LLC ("Highbrow") states and alleges as follows:  Nature of the Case  1. This is an action for declaration of rights under the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §  1051 et seq., "Lanham Act") and the laws of Virginia.  2. Highbrow seeks a declaration from this court that its use of "HIGHBROW" for an online website dedicated to "stories through the lens of beauty," including beauty tips, reviews, and tutorials, does not infringe or otherwise violate the rights of defendants Tara Taghizadeh ("Taghizadeh") and Highbrow Magazine, LLC ("Highbrow Magazine").	
1-COMPLAINT Show me more a	Bristow-Ford Law 522 SW 5th Ave Ste 1390
S SCRIBD 1 of 13	⊕ Q <u>↓ &lt; ۲</u>

View this document on Scribd

Exhibit 5:

Case 3:16-cv-00544 Document 1-7 Filed 03/29/16 Page 1 of 20

**EXHIBIT 5** 

